10 Ventra, CA 93001 Telephone: (805) 641-6600 Facsimile: (805) 641-6607 E-mail: djp@palaylaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Certified Class UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA MATHEW ROSS, an individual; for himself and those similarly situated: ROBERT MAGEE, an individual, for himself and those similarly situated and ROES 1 through 30,000; and the Certified Class, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, Defendants. Defendants.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Alejandro P. Gutierrez, SBN 107688 HATHAWAY, PERRETT, WEBSTER, POWERS, CHRISMAN & GUTIERREZ A Professional Corporation 200 Hathaway Building 5450 Telegraph Road Post Office Box 3577 Ventura, CA 93006-3577 Telephone: (805) 644-7111 Facsimile: (805) 644-8296 E-mail: agutierrez@hathawaylawfirm.com Daniel J. Palay, SBN 159348 Michael A. Strauss, SBN 246718 Brian D. Hefelfinger, SBN 253054 STRAUSS & PALAY, APC	
E-mail: djp@palaylaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Certified Class UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA MATHEW ROSS, an individual; for himself and those similarly situated; ROBERT MAGEE, an individual, for himself and those similarly situated and ROES 1 through 30,000; and the Certified Class, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, ECOLAB, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants.		Ventura, CA 93001 Telephone: (805) 641-6600 Facsimile: (805) 641-6607	
Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Certified Class UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA MATHEW ROSS, an individual; for himself and those similarly situated; ROBERT MAGEE, an individual, for himself and those similarly situated and ROES 1 through 30,000; and the Certified Class, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, Defendants. Defendants.		E-mail: djp@palaylaw.com	
NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA MATHEW ROSS, an individual; for himself and those similarly situated; ROBERT MAGEE, an individual, for himself and those similarly situated and ROES 1 through 30,000; and the Certified Class, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, ECOLAB, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants.		Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Certified Class	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA MATHEW ROSS, an individual; for himself and those similarly situated; ROBERT MAGEE, an individual, for himself and those similarly situated and ROES 1 through 30,000; and the Certified Class, Plaintiffs, Vs. ECOLAB, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants. NATHEW ROSS, an individual; for himself and those similarly situated; Action filed December 21, 2009 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMEN T		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
MATHEW ROSS, an individual; for himself and those similarly situated; ROBERT MAGEE, an individual, for himself and those similarly situated and ROES 1 through 30,000; and the Certified Class, Plaintiffs, vs. ECOLAB, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants. CASE NO: C 13-05097 PJH Action filed December 21, 2009 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMEN T		NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
ROBERT MAGEE, an individual, for himself and those similarly situated and ROES 1 through 30,000; and the Certified Class, Plaintiffs, vs. ECOLAB, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants.) CASE NO: C 13-05097 PJH
ROES 1 through 30,000; and the Certified Class, Plaintiffs, vs. ECOLAB, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants.		ROBERT MAGEE, an individual, for	Action filed December 21, 2009
19		ROES 1 through 30,000; and the Certified) APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
20 vs.	19	Dlaintiffa) SETTLEMENT)
22 ECOLAB, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	20	Fiamuns,	
ECOLAB, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants.	21	VS.	
24 and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, 3 25 Defendants. 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	22		
24	23		
26	24	and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	
27	25	Defendants.	
28	26		
	27		
	28		1

ORDER

Pending before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement. Defendant Ecolab Inc. does not oppose the motion and therefore has not filed any brief in response. The Court held a tentative fairness hearing on May 11, 2016. On May 13, 2016, the Court issued its Order granting preliminary approval of the class action settlement.

After careful consideration of the pending motion and a thorough review of the materials submitted in support, the Court now rules as follows:

- 1. The Court finds that the Settlement is the product of serious, informed, non-collusive negotiations conducted at arm's-length by the Parties. In making these findings, the Court considered, among other factors, the potential damages claimed in the lawsuit on behalf of Plaintiffs and members of the Certified Class (which totals 217 participating individuals), Defendant's potential liability, the risks of continued litigation including trial outcome, delay and potential appeals, the substantial benefits available to the Certified Class as a result of the Settlement, the Parties' participation in mediation with an experienced class action mediator, and the fact that the proposed Settlement represents a compromise of the Parties' respective positions rather than the result of a finding of liability at trial. The Court further finds that the terms of the Settlement have no obvious deficiencies and do not improperly grant preferential treatment to any individual member of the Certified Class.
- 2. The Court finds that the Class Notice that was approved by the Court in its Order of May 13, 2016 was timely sent to Class Members by the Court-approved Claims Administrator CPT Group, Inc., which notice fully and accurately informed the Certified Class of all material elements of the lawsuit and proposed class action Settlement and each Certified Class Member's right and opportunity to object to the proposed class action Settlement and be heard at the final approval (fairness) hearing.
 - 3. No Certified Class Member filed a written statement with the Court objecting

1	to the Settlement or any of its terms by the objection deadline of July 17, 2016		
2	Accordingly, any objection is deemed to have been waived and all Certified Class		
3	Members are foreclosed from making any objections to the Settlement, whether by appearance		
4	or otherwise.		
5	4. The Court GRANTS Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action		
6	Settlement.		
7	5. The Court retains jurisdiction over the case and the parties to the extent		
8	necessary to implement the terms of the Settlement Agreement until each act agreed t		
9	be performed by the Parties under the Settlement has been fully performed.		
10	6. The5 Court dismisses with prejudice all claims asserted in the case as the		
11	"Released Claims" are defined in Section III(P) of the Settlement Agreement (Ex 1 t		
12	Gutierrez Declaration).		
13			
14	IT IS SO ORDERED, this day of August, 2016.		
15			
16	HON DIVI I G I HAMILTON		
17	HON. PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			